

Appendix B - Consultation response summary

STREET NAME	SUMMARY OF COMMENTS
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme. Would like double yellow lines rather than single yellow lines across one of the access roads to the flats in South Square on the west side of Heathgate
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme. Pleased that the request for "permit holders only past this point" has been proposed.
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme. Only concern is the proposal to allow parking on both sides of South Square on the approach to St Jude's vicarage.
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme. Pleased that the request for "permit holders only past this point" has been proposed.
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme. Pleased that the request for "permit holders only past this point" has been proposed.
Heathgate NW11	Supports scheme, and would like it implemented as soon as possible.
Meadway	Extending the CPZ would result in more parking outside house and all the properties for No. 35 Meadway onwards. Wishes for the CPZ to be extended along Meadway as commuters park along the H2 bus route so they can get to Golders Green Station easily. Cars are parked dangerously near the corner of Meadway and Heathgate on the north side of the road going east - there should be double yellow lines on this corner.

Meadway	<p>Objects to scheme.</p> <p>Will move parking problem to their stretch of Meadway east of Heathgate, as has happened with previous local CPZ implementations.</p> <p>Worsening parking has made it increasingly difficult to reverse out of their driveway onto Meadway.</p> <p>Suggests the stretch of Meadway east of Heathgate to past Meadway Close to be included in the CPZ or if this is not possible, then have a white line painted across the entrance to their garage.</p>
Meadway NW11	<p>Objects to scheme unless the CPZ extension includes Meadway between Heathgate and Bigwood Road.</p> <p>In favour of the CPZ extension into Heathgate and South Square, together with a further extension into Meadway.</p> <p>Feels that this part of Meadway is already heavily parked, affecting the ambience, aspect and ethos of the Suburb for residents and visitors.</p> <p>Feels that the part of Meadway between Heathgate and Bigwood Road is already excessively used by commuters and business/goods vehicles and people leaving their vehicle when going on holiday.</p> <p>Feels that the CPZ extension will exacerbate the dangers and detriments into Meadway, and will push congestion and parking further into the Suburb.</p>

Meadway NW11	<p>Questions why the CPZ is not being further extended along Meadway - probably only as far as the Wildwood intersection.</p> <p>Feels that long-term parkers in Heathgate will simply move a little further down the road in Meadway.</p> <p>Feels that an extension further into Meadway would make the walk to Golders Green prohibitive.</p>
--------------	--

Meadway NW11	<p>Objects to scheme.</p> <p>Feels proposals are unnecessary.</p> <p>Feels proposals are inconsistent with the character of the area</p> <p>Feels proposals will significantly and unfairly change the character of the area particularly in roads outside the proposed restricted area.</p> <p>Parking in the relevant roads is not problematic despite claims made in petitions by resident previously.</p> <p>Since GS CPZ was introduced, parking along Meadway has become busier on sections of Meadway beyond the restrictions. If the new proposals are implemented, this will become significantly worse.</p> <p>Feels that restrictions should not apply to the section of Heathgate leading to the Heath extension as the public in general should be allowed to use it to visit the Heath extension.</p> <p>Notes that the houses along this section of Heathgate have large driveways.</p> <p>Claims it is unfair for restrictions to be imposed without the consent of those within and outside the proposed restricted area.</p> <p>Agrees with proposed restrictions at the corner of Heathgate and Meadway by No. 18</p>
North Square NW11	<p>Concerned that the CPZ extension will displace parking to North Square which currently has no parking problems.</p>
North Square NW11	<p>Objects to scheme.</p> <p>Feels it would only displace parking to North Square.</p>
South Square NW11	<p>Supports scheme.</p> <p>Requests no parking at any time on the side nearest the church.</p> <p>Requests 3 or 4 spaces of residents only on the side of the green that is currently proposed to be no parking.</p>
South Square NW11	<p>Asks how Council proposes to ensure St Jude's car park is not abused and is kept free for users of the Church</p>

<p>South Square NW11</p>	<p>Objects to scheme. Feels it serves as extra revenue collection and not to protect residents. States that the only extra traffic in this area belongs to Henrietta Barnet School and the proposed CPZ times would not cover that. The extra expense of £100 per year is not affordable and an unwelcome burden. Feels there are no shops and are too far from the underground station for people to use this area to park and commute.</p>
<p>South Square NW11</p>	<p>Supports scheme.</p>
<p>South Square NW11</p>	<p>Supports scheme. States that the issue is not caused by commuters, but the parking up of up to 8 vehicles intended for repair or body shop work by one or more auto repair shops in the area. Asks if there is no other way to address this issue. Feels that a one-hour CPZ may not be sufficient to deter this problem and requests a two-hour restriction.</p>
<p>South Square NW11</p>	<p>Supports scheme. Requests 3 resident permit spaces on the side of the square bordering 19-24 South Square.</p>